Roselle SD 12



District Superintendent

Dr. Mary Henderson mhenderson@sd12.org

Address

Roselle IL 60172 (630)529-2091

http://www.sd12.k12.il.us

District Provided Statement

Not available.

About the Report Card

State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

The federal Every Student Succeeds Act requires that states annually assign schools a summative designation that meaningfully differentiates school performance based on multiple performance measures. All states were offered a waiver of this requirement for school year 2020-2021 due to the impact of COVID-19. For more information about Illinois' accountability system please visit www.isbe.net/summative.

For additional information, refer to the Public Business Rules for 2021 Report Card Metrics and the 2021 Glossary of Terms.

District Snapshot

Percent of Adequacy: 101.9% Chronic Absenteeism: 18.3%

Principal Turnover: 2 Schools in District: 2

TABLE OF CONTENTS

02 | How To Read The Data

03 | Academic Progress

63 | District Environment

70 | Students

99 | Accountability

100 | Teachers

104 | Administrators

107 | Civil Rights Data Collection (2017-18)

109 | NAEP

Date: 08/30/22 8:42:13 -05:00

How To Read The Data

Understanding COVID-19 Flags

Flag

Description



Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2020-21 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020-21 Report Card.



Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Users of this report should note that this data may have possibly been affected by the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2020-21 school year. HOWEVER, based on the data collected and comparisons to historical data, the data collected for this collection cycle does not, in aggregate, exhibit greater variation than is normally observed from year to year.



Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Caution should be used when reviewing this data. This data should not be considered final until all Assessment testing has been completed and the data has been finalized.



Data delayed due to COVID-19


COVID-19 changes to assessment scheduling delayed publication of 2020-21 data. If no assessment data appears for your district, it may not appear until April of 2022.



Data for this metric are not available through the Report Card, however additional information can be found at https://www.isbe.net/Pages/Report-Card-Metrics.aspx. Reasons these data are not available on the SY2020-21 Illinois Report Card may include (a) substantial changes to how the metric is measured (i.e. methodology), (b) substantial changes to what the metric measures (i.e. the construct), (c) circumstances that render the data set substantially incomplete, or (d) circumstances that cause the data and its use to fall below acceptable thresholds for reliability and validity.

About the data

Academic progress data includes information regarding assessments, such as student participation rates, proficiency rates, and mean student growth percentile. Other information regarding academics may include certain touchstones, such as eighth-graders passing Algebra 1, graduation rate, and various advanced coursework information. Academic progress often is disaggregated further into demographic student groups.



IΔR

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students scoring at each of the Performance Levels for the Illinois Assessment of Readiness (IAR). Each Performance Level is a broad, categorical level defined by a student's overall scale score and used to report overall student performance by describing how well students met the expectations for their grade level/course. Each Performance Level is defined by a range of overall scale scores for the assessment. There are five Performance Levels for IAR assessments:

- Level 1: Did not yet meet expectations
- Level 2: Partially met expectations
- Level 3: Approached expectations
- Level 4: Met expectations
- Level 5: Exceeded expectations

Students performing at levels 4 and 5 met or exceeded expectations, have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level/course and, ultimately, are on track for college and careers. Performance Level Descriptors describe the knowledge, skills, and practices that students should know and be able to demonstrate at each Performance Level in each content area (English language arts [ELA] and mathematics) and at each grade level/course.

IAR (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 3										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
All										
District	10.4%	8.3%	27.1%	43.8%	10.4%	6.7%	17.8%	20.0%	35.6%	20.0%
State	29.7%	21.1%	21.2%	26.1%	1.9%	23.5%	23.3%	22.6%	24.6%	6.0%
White										
District	10.5%	10.5%	23.7%	44.7%	10.5%	8.3%	16.7%	16.7%	41.7%	16.7%
State	19.6%	20.7%	24.2%	33.1%	2.5%	12.4%	20.5%	26.8%	32.8%	7.5%
Black										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	53.6%	22.0%	14.1%	10.0%	0.2%	52.9%	26.8%	13.2%	6.4%	0.7%
Hispanic										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	44.5%	22.9%	17.6%	14.4%	0.6%	37.1%	30.3%	18.9%	12.2%	1.6%
Asian										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	11.5%	14.7%	23.6%	45.2%	5.0%	6.8%	12.5%	19.7%	38.7%	22.2%
Male										
District	13.6%	13.6%	18.2%	45.5%	9.1%	5.3%	15.8%	5.3%	31.6%	42.1%
State	33.3%	22.0%	20.8%	22.7%	1.2%	22.9%	22.2%	22.3%	25.8%	6.8%
Female										
District	7.7%	3.8%	34.6%	42.3%	11.5%	7.7%	19.2%	30.8%	38.5%	3.8%
State	25.9%	20.1%	21.7%	29.7%	2.6%	24.1%	24.4%	23.0%	23.4%	5.2%

IAR (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 3										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Native Ha	vaiian/ Pacif	ic Islander								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	21.4%	20.4%	26.2%	31.1%	1.0%	18.8%	25.7%	21.8%	30.7%	3.0%
American	Indian	1	·		l.	,	·		l.	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	38.3%	20.1%	19.2%	20.6%	1.9%	31.8%	23.8%	20.6%	18.2%	5.6%
Two or Mo	re Races	1						I		
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	27.7%	21.2%	22.6%	26.3%	2.3%	22.4%	24.3%	21.8%	24.2%	7.3%
Students	with Disabilit	ies	·		·	,	·		·	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	53.9%	21.1%	13.5%	10.9%	0.5%	41.5%	25.5%	17.4%	13.1%	2.5%
Students	with IEPs	-								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	58.7%	20.7%	11.8%	8.4%	0.4%	45.2%	25.8%	16.3%	11.0%	1.8%
Non-IEP		1	·		l.	,	·		l.	
District	6.8%	6.8%	27.3%	47.7%	11.4%	7.1%	14.3%	21.4%	35.7%	21.4%
State	24.9%	21.1%	22.8%	29.1%	2.1%	19.9%	22.9%	23.6%	26.8%	6.7%
English Le	arners			,		,		1		,
District	0.0%	0.0%	60.0%	30.0%	10.0%	0.0%	40.0%	10.0%	40.0%	10.0%
State	52.0%	23.4%	15.4%	8.9%	0.3%	40.4%	29.7%	18.1%	10.7%	1.0%
Non-Engli	sh Learners									
District	13.2%	10.5%	18.4%	47.4%	10.5%	8.6%	11.4%	22.9%	34.3%	22.9%
State	25.3%	20.6%	22.4%	29.5%	2.2%	20.2%	22.0%	23.5%	27.3%	7.0%

IAR (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 3										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Low Incon	ne									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	46.2%	23.6%	17.0%	12.8%	0.5%	39.6%	29.1%	18.9%	11.2%	1.1%
Non Low I	ncome	1		-			-		-	
District	5.0%	10.0%	27.5%	47.5%	10.0%	5.4%	10.8%	24.3%	40.5%	18.9%
State	16.7%	19.1%	24.6%	36.6%	3.0%	10.9%	18.7%	25.5%	35.0%	9.8%
Homeless			,		,	,		,		
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	54.1%	23.0%	13.4%	9.3%	0.2%	46.4%	28.5%	17.5%	7.1%	0.6%
Migrant		1								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Youth In C	are	1	,	·	,	,	·		·	·
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	51.5%	24.5%	15.6%	8.5%	0.0%	42.7%	27.5%	20.9%	8.4%	0.4%
Military										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	27.4%	20.9%	25.2%	24.7%	1.7%	19.6%	23.1%	29.0%	24.5%	3.8%

IAR (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 4										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
All										
District	6.3%	39.6%	35.4%	16.7%	2.1%	4.2%	20.8%	37.5%	31.3%	6.3%
State	23.9%	22.1%	26.2%	24.2%	3.6%	26.2%	26.2%	24.4%	20.8%	2.4%
White										
District	5.1%	35.9%	35.9%	20.5%	2.6%	2.6%	17.9%	41.0%	30.8%	7.7%
State	14.8%	20.0%	29.7%	30.8%	4.7%	14.9%	24.7%	30.0%	27.6%	2.8%
Black									,	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	46.6%	26.8%	17.9%	8.2%	0.5%	57.0%	27.4%	11.2%	4.1%	0.2%
Hispanic	1	1	<u>'</u>		1				,	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	36.3%	26.5%	22.9%	13.2%	1.1%	40.3%	31.7%	18.9%	8.6%	0.5%
Asian									,	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	9.9%	13.7%	25.6%	41.4%	9.4%	7.4%	14.2%	23.0%	43.4%	12.1%
Male			,				·			-
District	7.4%	48.1%	29.6%	14.8%	0.0%	7.4%	22.2%	22.2%	40.7%	7.4%
State	27.3%	23.4%	25.8%	21.2%	2.3%	26.2%	24.8%	24.0%	22.2%	2.8%
Female										1
District	4.8%	28.6%	42.9%	19.0%	4.8%	0.0%	19.0%	57.1 %	19.0%	4.8%
State	20.5%	20.7%	26.7%	27.2%	4.9%	26.1%	27.6%	24.9%	19.3%	2.0%

IAR (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 4										
Graue -						Marthamatica				
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Native Hav	vaiian/ Pacif	ic Islander								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	29.3%	19.2%	22.2%	23.2%	6.1%	24.7%	27.8%	33.0%	12.4%	2.1%
American	Indian									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	36.6%	21.6%	20.6%	19.1%	2.1%	37.5%	28.6%	16.7%	14.6%	2.6%
Two or Mo	re Races	l.	,	l.	l.		1		·	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	21.7%	21.5%	26.6%	25.6%	4.6%	25.0%	26.0%	24.2%	21.5%	3.3%
Students v	with Disabilit	ies								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	48.7%	24.2%	16.5%	9.6%	0.9%	46.8%	25.9%	16.3%	10.1%	0.9%
Students v	with IEPs									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	55.2%	24.0%	13.4%	6.8%	0.6%	52.3%	25.6%	13.9%	7.6%	0.6%
Non-IEP										
District	5.0%	40.0%	35.0%	17.5%	2.5%	5.0%	17.5%	42.5%	27.5%	7.5%
State	18.8%	21.8%	28.3%	27.0%	4.1%	21.9%	26.2%	26.2%	22.9%	2.7%
English Le	arners									
District	15.4%	61.5%	23.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	46.2%	38.5%	15.4%	0.0%
State	45.9%	28.5%	19.1%	6.4%	0.2%	45.5%	32.1%	16.3%	5.8%	0.2%
Non-Englis	sh Learners									
District	2.9%	31.4%	40.0%	22.9%	2.9%	5.7%	11.4%	37.1%	37.1%	8.6%
State	20.0%	21.0%	27.5%	27.4%	4.2%	22.7%	25.1%	25.9%	23.5%	2.8%

IAR (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 4										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Low Incom	ie									
District	7.7%	38.5%	46.2%	7.7%	0.0%	15.4%	15.4%	46.2%	23.1%	0.0%
State	38.1%	27.0%	22.3%	11.7%	0.8%	43.3%	30.7%	17.9%	7.6%	0.4%
Non Low Ir	ncome									
District	5.7%	40.0%	31.4%	20.0%	2.9%	0.0%	22.9%	34.3%	34.3%	8.6%
State	12.9%	18.3%	29.3%	33.8%	5.7%	13.0%	22.6%	29.5%	30.9%	4.0%
Homeless										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	47.5%	24.1%	18.9%	8.7%	0.7%	49.4%	30.6%	15.5%	4.5%	0.1%
Migrant										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Youth In Ca	are									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	45.1%	25.9%	18.4%	9.6%	1.0%	46.3%	32.2%	14.9%	6.5%	0.0%
Military										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	22.6%	20.5%	27.8%	26.7%	2.4%	24.2%	27.3%	28.2%	18.6%	1.7%

IAR (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 5										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
All										
District	6.0%	28.0%	24.0%	40.0%	2.0%	7.8%	9.8%	21.6%	54.9%	5.9%
State	19.6%	24.8%	26.7%	27.0%	2.0%	23.9%	30.7%	21.7%	20.1%	3.6%
White										
District	0.0%	25.6%	28.2%	46.2%	0.0%	5.0%	5.0%	25.0%	62.5%	2.5%
State	12.5%	22.0%	29.5%	33.5%	2.5%	14.7%	28.4%	26.4%	26.4%	4.1%
Black	·	,	·				·	·	1	1
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	38.6%	31.6%	19.8%	9.6%	0.3%	49.9%	35.5%	10.3%	4.0%	0.3%
Hispanic	-						-	-	-	1
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	28.5%	30.0%	24.6%	16.3%	0.6%	34.5%	37.1%	17.5%	9.9%	0.9%
Asian						,				1
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	7.0%	13.4%	24.4%	49.1%	6.1%	7.0%	16.1%	20.3%	39.4%	17.3%
Male	·	,	l.				·	·	1	1
District	11.1%	29.6%	29.6%	25.9%	3.7%	14.3%	3.6%	17.9%	53.6%	10.7%
State	22.8%	26.9%	26.6%	22.6%	1.1%	24.9%	28.8%	20.9%	21.5%	3.9%
Female										
District	0.0%	26.1%	17.4%	56.5%	0.0%	0.0%	17.4%	26.1%	56.5%	0.0%
State	16.2%	22.5%	26.8%	31.7%	2.9%	22.7%	32.8%	22.6%	18.7%	3.1%

IAR (cont)

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 5										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Native Haw	aiian/ Pacif	ic Islander								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	16.7%	27.1%	24.0%	31.3%	1.0%	26.3%	27.4%	18.9%	24.2%	3.2%
American I	ndian									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	29.6%	22.3%	26.3%	20.1%	1.7%	29.5%	35.2%	18.2%	14.8%	2.3%
Two or Mor	e Races									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	18.1%	25.2%	26.3%	28.2%	2.2%	24.6%	29.4%	21.4%	20.3%	4.3%
Students w	rith Disabilit	ies		,	1		1			
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	45.3%	28.4%	16.2%	9.7%	0.4%	42.7%	34.4%	13.1%	8.6%	1.2%
Students w	rith IEPs									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	52.7%	28.6%	12.7%	5.7%	0.2%	47.8%	35.3%	10.7%	5.6%	0.7%
Non-IEP				,					,	
District	2.3%	22.7%	27.3%	45.5%	2.3%	0.0%	11.1%	20.0%	62.2%	6.7%
State	14.2%	24.2%	29.0%	30.5%	2.3%	20.0%	30.0%	23.5%	22.5%	4.0%
English Lea	arners	<u>I</u>	I		I	I	I	I		I
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	46.2%	35.0%	15.6%	3.2%	0.0%	46.9%	39.4%	10.7%	2.8%	0.1%
Non-Englis	h Learners									
District	2.1%	27.7%	25.5%	42.6%	2.1%	6.3%	6.3%	22.9%	58.3%	6.3%
State	16.1%	23.4%	28.2%	30.1%	2.2%	20.8%	29.6%	23.2%	22.4%	4.0%

IAR (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 5										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Low Incom	ne									
District	10.0%	40.0%	30.0%	20.0%	0.0%	20.0%	30.0%	0.0%	40.0%	10.0%
State	31.5%	31.5%	23.3%	13.2%	0.5%	38.5%	37.1%	15.9%	7.9%	0.6%
Non Low Ir	icome									
District	5.0%	25.0%	22.5%	45.0%	2.5%	4.9%	4.9%	26.8%	58.5%	4.9%
State	10.3%	19.6%	29.3%	37.6%	3.1%	12.7%	25.8%	26.2%	29.5%	5.8%
Homeless										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	37.3%	31.2%	20.9%	10.3%	0.3%	43.5%	35.5%	15.5%	5.0%	0.6%
Migrant										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Youth In C	are									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	37.2%	31.2%	21.0%	10.5%	0.0%	43.0%	38.4%	13.7%	4.8%	0.2%
Military										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	19.1%	25.5%	27.2%	26.4%	1.8%	21.8%	30.8%	23.9%	22.0%	1.6%

IAR (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 6										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
All										
District	4.9%	9.8%	26.2%	49.2%	9.8%	1.7%	20.0%	33.3%	33.3%	11.7%
State	16.0%	24.5%	29.7%	26.9%	2.9%	24.3%	29.2%	25.0%	19.0%	2.5%
White										
District	4.3%	6.4%	23.4%	57.4%	8.5%	2.1%	17.0%	38.3%	31.9%	10.6%
State	9.9%	21.1%	32.2%	33.3%	3.5%	15.0%	27.1%	30.6%	24.7%	2.6%
Black										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	31.7%	34.4%	23.3%	10.2%	0.4%	50.7%	33.5%	11.7%	3.9%	0.2%
Hispanic										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	23.3%	29.9%	28.9%	16.9%	1.1%	35.0%	35.3%	20.3%	8.8%	0.5%
Asian										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	6.3%	11.7%	24.1%	47.0%	10.9%	7.4%	13.0%	21.7%	42.4%	15.5%
Male										
District	7.7%	11.5%	26.9%	42.3%	11.5%	3.8%	19.2%	23.1%	30.8%	23.1%
State	18.9%	26.7%	29.7%	22.8%	1.8%	25.1%	27.8%	24.5%	19.8%	2.9%
Female										
District	2.9%	8.6%	25.7%	54.3%	8.6%	0.0%	20.6%	41.2%	35.3%	2.9%
State	12.8%	22.2%	29.7%	31.3%	4.1%	23.5%	30.6%	25.5%	18.3%	2.2%

IAR (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 6										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Native Haw	aiian/ Pacif	ic Islander								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	11.0%	17.0%	34.0%	36.0%	2.0%	18.4%	24.5%	35.7%	20.4%	1.0%
American I	ndian									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	18.0%	24.8%	32.9%	23.9%	0.5%	27.9%	36.1%	19.6%	14.6%	1.8%
Two or Mor	e Races									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	15.9%	24.1%	28.7%	27.7%	3.6%	26.2%	29.0%	23.1%	18.4%	3.3%
Students w	ith Disabilit	ies								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	40.6%	30.8%	18.4%	9.5%	0.6%	49.9%	28.4%	13.7%	7.3%	0.8%
Students w	rith IEPs									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	49.1%	32.0%	13.8%	4.9%	0.2%	58.5%	27.6%	9.8%	3.7%	0.4%
Non-IEP										
District	5.3%	7.0%	28.1%	49.1%	10.5%	1.8%	17.9%	35.7%	33.9%	10.7%
State	10.8%	23.4%	32.2%	30.4%	3.3%	19.0%	29.4%	27.3%	21.4%	2.8%
English Lea	arners									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	44.7%	39.0%	14.2%	2.0%	0.0%	57.7%	33.6%	7.3%	1.3%	0.1%
Non-Englis	h Learners									
District	3.4%	10.3%	25.9%	50.0%	10.3%	1.8%	19.3%	31.6%	35.1%	12.3%
State	12.9%	23.0%	31.4%	29.6%	3.2%	20.8%	28.7%	26.8%	20.9%	2.8%

IAR (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 6										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Low Incon	ne									
District	6.7%	13.3%	20.0%	53.3%	6.7%	0.0%	42.9%	21.4%	21.4%	14.3%
State	25.9%	31.8%	27.3%	14.2%	0.8%	38.9%	35.3%	18.0%	7.3%	0.4%
Non Low I	ncome									
District	4.3%	8.7%	28.3%	47.8%	10.9%	2.2%	13.0%	37.0%	37.0%	10.9%
State	8.4%	18.9%	31.5%	36.7%	4.5%	13.2%	24.5%	30.3%	27.9%	4.1%
Homeless										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	33.1%	31.6%	24.6%	10.6%	0.1%	46.6%	33.8%	14.9%	4.5%	0.3%
Migrant				,		,		,		
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Youth In C	are			,		,		,		
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	35.6%	32.5%	22.1%	9.2%	0.5%	47.7%	34.1%	13.1%	4.8%	0.3%
Military	,									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	18.3%	22.1%	33.4%	24.3%	1.8%	24.3%	29.4%	28.7%	16.0%	1.5%

IAR (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 7										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
All										
District	3.6%	5.4%	21.4%	44.6%	25.0%	1.8%	12.5%	19.6%	48.2%	17.9%
State	21.2%	20.2%	25.8%	25.9%	6.9%	10.9%	30.9%	32.1%	23.0%	3.1%
White										
District	2.4%	2.4%	24.4%	46.3%	24.4%	2.5%	10.0%	15.0%	55.0%	17.5%
State	14.5%	18.2%	27.4%	31.2%	8.7%	6.4%	25.0%	36.2%	29.1%	3.3%
Black										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	40.4%	25.4%	20.9%	11.8%	1.4%	25.1%	47.0%	21.2%	6.4%	0.3%
Hispanic										
District	8.3%	16.7%	16.7%	41.7%	16.7%	0.0%	23.1%	30.8%	38.5%	7.7%
State	28.8%	24.3%	25.9%	18.1%	2.8%	15.1%	39.9%	30.9%	13.1%	0.8%
Asian										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	7.6%	9.5%	21.1%	41.2%	20.6%	2.7%	11.2%	23.6%	45.0%	17.6%
Male										
District	8.3%	0.0%	25.0%	50.0%	16.7%	0.0%	12.0%	28.0%	40.0%	20.0%
State	25.3%	22.0%	25.7%	22.4%	4.6%	11.5%	29.7%	31.5%	23.8%	3.4%
Female										
District	0.0%	9.4%	18.8%	40.6%	31.3%	3.2%	12.9%	12.9%	54.8%	16.1%
State	16.8%	18.3%	25.9%	29.5%	9.4%	10.2%	32.2%	32.7%	22.1%	2.8%

IAR (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 7										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Native Ha	waiian/ Pacit	fic Islander								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	12.5%	18.2%	31.8%	25.0%	12.5%	9.4%	27.1%	31.8%	27.1%	4.7%
American	Indian									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	22.7%	28.2%	26.4%	17.6%	5.1%	14.7%	33.3%	32.4%	17.6%	2.0%
Two or Mo	re Races				1	1			1	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	20.7%	20.7%	25.7%	25.1%	7.7%	11.2%	33.2%	30.6%	21.1%	3.9%
Students	⊥ with Disabilit	ties								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	49.6%	22.5%	16.3%	9.8%	1.9%	28.0%	42.0%	19.9%	9.0%	1.1%
Students	with IEPs		1	1						
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	60.2%	22.5%	12.2%	4.5%	0.6%	34.7%	46.0%	14.4%	4.5%	0.4%
Non-IEP										
District	0.0%	3.9%	19.6%	49.0%	27.5%	2.0%	11.8%	13.7%	52.9%	19.6%
State	15.3%	19.9%	27.9%	29.1%	7.9%	7.3%	28.7%	34.8%	25.8%	3.5%
English Le										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	53.2%	28.0%	15.2%	3.4%	0.1%	26.5%	52.4%	18.2%	2.8%	0.1%
	sh Learners									
	3.7%	5.6%	18.5%	46.3%	25.9%	1.9%	11.1%	18.5%	50.0%	18.5%
District										
State	18.0%	19.4%	26.9%	28.1%	7.6%	9.4%	28.9%	33.5%	24.9%	3.4%

IAR (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 7										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Low Incon	ne									
District	9.1%	0.0%	18.2%	54.5%	18.2%	0.0%	0.0%	18.2%	54.5%	27.3%
State	33.2%	25.0%	24.0%	15.5%	2.3%	18.3%	42.5%	27.8%	10.6%	0.7%
Non Low I	ncome									
District	2.2%	6.7%	22.2%	42.2%	26.7%	2.2%	15.6%	20.0%	46.7%	15.6%
State	12.0%	16.6%	27.2%	33.8%	10.5%	5.3%	22.2%	35.3%	32.3%	4.9%
Homeless										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	41.6%	27.1%	19.1%	11.3%	0.9%	25.7%	45.9%	22.7%	5.5%	0.2%
Migrant										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Youth In C	are									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	43.3%	25.0%	18.8%	11.9%	0.9%	27.6%	45.9%	21.1%	5.2%	0.2%
Military										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	19.9%	22.4%	29.3%	23.9%	4.4%	10.5%	33.2%	32.9%	22.1%	1.3%

IAR (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 8										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
All										
District	6.3%	12.5%	31.3%	47.9%	2.1%	10.4%	22.9%	22.9%	43.8%	0.0%
State	20.1%	19.4%	26.3%	29.5%	4.6%	29.6%	25.7%	18.7%	22.8%	3.3%
White	,								,	
District	2.7%	8.1%	35.1%	54.1%	0.0%	5.4%	21.6%	29.7%	43.2%	0.0%
State	14.2%	17.4%	27.8%	35.0%	5.6%	21.2%	24.8%	22.0%	28.7%	3.4%
Black										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	38.1%	25.3%	22.2%	13.4%	1.1%	56.1%	26.5%	10.6%	6.5%	0.3%
Hispanic		I		I	I	I		I		1
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	26.6%	22.7%	26.6%	22.0%	2.0%	38.1%	30.1%	16.6%	14.0%	1.1%
Asian										-
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	7.1%	8.9%	19.9%	48.1%	16.0%	9.3%	12.5%	14.3%	42.9%	21.0%
Male										
District	10.3%	13.8%	34.5%	41.4%	0.0%	13.8%	20.7%	17.2%	48.3%	0.0%
State	24.7%	22.0%	26.5%	24.1%	2.7%	31.4%	24.9%	18.0%	22.3%	3.4%
Female										
District	0.0%	10.5%	26.3%	57.9%	5.3%	5.3%	26.3%	31.6%	36.8%	0.0%
State	15.2%	16.6%	26.1%	35.4%	6.7%	27.6%	26.5%	19.5%	23.2%	3.1%

IAR (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 8										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Native Haw	aiian/ Pacif	ic Islander								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	15.1%	17.2%	22.6%	39.8%	5.4%	23.1%	23.1%	15.4%	33.0%	5.5%
American I	ndian									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	22.2%	23.2%	22.2%	29.1%	3.4%	36.3%	19.8%	24.1%	16.5%	3.3%
Two or Moi	e Races									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	20.0%	20.0%	25.9%	29.1%	4.8%	31.5%	25.7%	17.7%	21.5%	3.6%
Students w	rith Disabilit	ies		1	1	1	1			
District	30.0%	0.0%	30.0%	40.0%	0.0%	20.0%	30.0%	10.0%	40.0%	0.0%
State	48.8%	22.9%	16.8%	10.4%	1.0%	58.1%	22.4%	9.5%	8.8%	1.1%
Students w	rith IEPs									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	59.8%	22.8%	12.2%	4.8%	0.3%	69.2%	20.5%	6.1%	3.8%	0.4%
Non-IEP										
District	0.0%	14.0%	32.6%	51.2%	2.3%	7.0%	20.9%	25.6%	46.5%	0.0%
State	14.1%	18.9%	28.5%	33.3%	5.3%	23.5%	26.5%	20.7%	25.6%	3.7%
English Lea	arners									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	54.8%	26.6%	14.9%	3.7%	0.1%	63.2%	27.4%	6.7%	2.6%	0.1%
Non-Englis	h Learners									
District	4.3%	12.8%	31.9%	48.9%	2.1%	8.5%	23.4%	23.4%	44.7%	0.0%
State	17.4%	18.8%	27.2%	31.6%	5.0%	26.9%	25.5%	19.7%	24.3%	3.5%

IAR (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 8										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Low Incom	ie									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	31.7%	23.8%	25.0%	17.9%	1.5%	45.4%	28.8%	14.5%	10.7%	0.7%
Non Low Ir	icome									
District	4.4%	8.9%	33.3%	51.1%	2.2%	6.7%	22.2%	24.4%	46.7%	0.0%
State	12.8%	16.6%	27.2%	36.9%	6.6%	19.5%	23.7%	21.4%	30.4%	4.9%
Homeless										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	40.3%	21.1%	26.1%	11.4%	1.0%	57.1%	24.4%	11.6%	6.6%	0.3%
Migrant										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Youth In Ca	are									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	41.5%	22.5%	22.5%	12.9%	0.8%	62.3%	23.4%	8.3%	5.6%	0.4%
Military										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	20.2%	23.3%	24.7%	28.3%	3.6%	28.4%	29.1%	15.5%	25.2%	1.8%

DLM

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

This shows the percentage and number of students scoring at each of the performance levels for the Dynamic Learning Maps Alternative Assessment (DLM-AA) for students with cognitive disabilities. DLM-AA results are not based on raw or scale scores; all data is based on diagnostic classification modeling. Standard setting allows us to look at patterns of number of linkage levels mastered across the tested Essential Elements, to which we can apply cut points to define categories of student performance. This performance are reported using the four Performance Levels approved by the consortium:

- Level 1 Entry: The student demonstrates emerging understanding of and ability to apply content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements.
- Level 2 Foundational: The student's understanding of and ability to apply targeted content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements is approaching the target.
- Level 3 Satisfactory: The student's understanding of and ability to apply content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements is at target.
- Level 4 Mastery: The student demonstrates advanced understanding of and ability to apply targeted content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements.

DLM (cont)

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 3								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	71.0%	9.9%	18.4%	0.7%	75.0%	13.0%	8.2%	3.8%
White								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	69.3%	10.0%	20.1%	0.6%	74.0%	13.5%	8.2%	4.2%
Black								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	69.6%	8.8%	20.7%	0.9%	74.5%	10.6%	10.2%	4.6%
Hispanic								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	73.9%	11.6%	14.1%	0.4%	75.7%	13.0%	8.1%	3.2%
Asian								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	76.5%	7.8%	15.7%	0.0%	74.5%	21.6%	3.9%	0.0%
Male								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	70.3%	10.2%	18.9%	0.7%	73.5%	12.8%	8.6%	5.0%
Female								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	72.8%	9.3%	17.3%	0.6%	78.4%	13.3%	7.1%	1.2%

DLM (cont)

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 3								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Native Hawa	aiian/ Pacific Is	slander						
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
American Ir	ndian							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More	Races	<u>'</u>			<u>'</u>			
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	73.1%	7.7%	17.3%	1.9%	82.4%	9.8%	3.9%	3.9%
Students w	ith Disabilities							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	70.9%	10.0%	18.4%	0.7%	74.9%	13.3%	8.2%	3.7%
Students w	ith IEPs							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	70.9%	10.0%	18.4%	0.7%	74.9%	13.3%	8.2%	3.7%
Non-IEP		-						
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	75.0%	4.2%	20.8%	0.0%	79.2%	0.0%	8.3%	12.5%
English Lea	rners	<u> </u>			<u>'</u>			
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	75.4%	10.6%	14.0%	0.0%	77.6%	14.4%	5.7%	2.3%
Non-English	Learners							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	69.6%	9.7%	19.9%	0.9%	74.2%	12.5%	9.0%	4.4%

DLM (cont)

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 3								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Low Income								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	69.7%	10.3%	19.1%	1.0%	74.0%	13.9%	8.0%	4.1%
Non Low Inco	me							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	72.8%	9.4%	17.5%	0.2%	76.4%	11.7%	8.4%	3.5%
Homeless								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	69.2%	7.7%	23.1%	0.0%	75.0%	16.7%	8.3%	0.0%
Migrant								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Youth In Care								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	60.0%	10.0%	25.0%	5.0%	65.0%	15.0%	15.0%	5.0%
Military								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	76.9%	15.4%	7.7%	0.0%	75.0%	8.3%	16.7%	0.0%

DLM (cont)

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 4								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All								
District	*	*	•	*	*	*	*	*
State	70.0%	16.5%	12.5%	1.0%	57.9%	10.2%	19.3%	12.7%
White								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	69.8%	15.8%	13.4%	1.0%	58.9%	8.8%	19.8%	12.6%
Black								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	69.2%	19.0%	11.4%	0.5%	55.0%	12.8%	21.8%	10.4%
Hispanic								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	69.4%	16.6%	12.5%	1.5%	57.4%	11.0%	17.6%	14.0%
Asian								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	78.8%	9.6%	11.5%	0.0%	65.4%	9.6%	11.5%	13.5%
Male								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	67.4%	17.4%	13.9%	1.4%	55.8%	9.7%	19.6%	14.9%
Female								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	75.4%	14.7%	9.5%	0.3%	62.1%	11.1%	18.7%	8.2%

DLM (cont)

• Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 4								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Native Hawaii	an/ Pacific Isla	nder						
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%
American Ind	ian							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%	60.0%	0.0%	0.0%	40.0%
Two or More F	Races							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	74.2%	16.1%	6.5%	3.2%	53.3%	10.0%	23.3%	13.3%
Students with	n Disabilities							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	69.7%	16.8%	12.5%	1.1%	57.5%	10.2%	19.3%	13.0%
Students with	n IEPs							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	69.7%	16.8%	12.5%	1.1%	57.5%	10.2%	19.3%	13.0%
Non-IEP								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	81.8%	4.5%	13.6%	0.0%	72.7%	9.1%	18.2%	0.0%
English Learn	ers							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	70.2%	16.9%	12.1%	0.8%	58.5%	10.5%	18.5%	12.5%
Non-English L	_earners							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	69.9%	16.4%	12.6%	1.1%	57.7%	10.1%	19.5%	12.8%

DLM (cont)

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 4								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Low Income								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	70.1%	16.8%	12.2%	0.9%	56.4%	11.9%	20.1%	11.6%
Non Low Inco	me							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	69.8%	16.1%	12.9%	1.2%	60.0%	7.6%	18.1%	14.4%
Homeless								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	83.3%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%	50.0%	25.0%	8.3%	16.7%
Migrant								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Youth In Care								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	76.2%	14.3%	9.5%	0.0%	57.1%	14.3%	19.0%	9.5%
Military								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	57.1%	0.0%	28.6%	14.3%

DLM (cont)

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 5								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	65.2%	12.7%	20.7%	1.4%	52.1%	29.9%	12.5%	5.5%
White								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	63.7%	11.2%	23.0%	2.2%	49.0%	32.0%	11.9%	7.0%
Black								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	66.8%	15.3%	17.3%	0.5%	54.1%	32.5%	11.3%	2.1%
Hispanic								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	69.9%	13.4%	16.3%	0.4%	59.8%	22.8%	13.0%	4.5%
Asian								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	71.8%	15.4%	12.8%	0.0%	59.0%	17.9%	17.9%	5.1%
Male								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	63.2%	13.4%	22.1%	1.3%	50.3%	30.4%	13.6%	5.6%
Female								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	69.1%	11.4%	18.1%	1.4%	55.5%	28.9%	10.4%	5.3%

DLM (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 5									
	ELA				Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
American In	dian					-			
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	20.0%	20.0%	60.0%	0.0%	40.0%	40.0%	0.0%	20.0%	
Two or More	Races								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	45.7%	11.4%	40.0%	2.9%	25.7%	45.7%	20.0%	8.6%	
Students wi	th Disabilities								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	65.0%	12.8%	20.8%	1.4%	51.8%	29.8%	12.8%	5.6%	
Students wi	th IEPs		1			-	1		
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	65.0%	12.8%	20.8%	1.4%	51.8%	29.8%	12.8%	5.6%	
Non-IEP									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	73.9%	8.7%	17.4%	0.0%	63.6%	31.8%	0.0%	4.5%	
English Lear	ners								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	69.1%	13.3%	16.8%	0.8%	55.6%	24.1%	14.4%	5.8%	
Non-English	Learners								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	64.0%	12.5%	22.0%	1.6%	50.9%	31.8%	11.9%	5.4%	

DLM (cont)

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 5									
	ELA				Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	
LowIncome									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	64.7%	12.8%	21.2%	1.4%	51.3%	31.3%	11.7%	5.6%	
Non Low Inco	me								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	65.9%	12.7%	20.1%	1.3%	53.0%	28.0%	13.5%	5.4%	
Homeless									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	46.2%	30.8%	23.1%	0.0%	46.2%	30.8%	15.4%	7.7%	
Migrant									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
Youth In Care									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	43.8%	37.5%	18.8%	0.0%	43.8%	37.5%	18.8%	0.0%	
Military									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

DLM (cont)

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 6									
	ELA				Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	
All									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	54.9%	23.8%	17.9%	3.4%	69.6%	16.9%	10.0%	3.5%	
White									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	54.9%	24.0%	17.9%	3.3%	70.2%	17.1%	9.4%	3.3%	
Black									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	46.9%	27.0%	20.9%	5.1%	62.4%	19.3%	14.2%	4.1%	
Hispanic									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	59.0%	22.0%	17.2%	1.9%	71.4%	16.7%	8.6%	3.3%	
Asian									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	64.3%	17.9%	12.5%	5.4%	76.8%	10.7%	10.7%	1.8%	
Male									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	55.8%	24.2%	16.7%	3.2%	68.7%	17.0%	10.7%	3.7%	
Female									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	53.0%	23.0%	20.2%	3.8%	71.3%	16.7%	8.7%	3.3%	

DLM (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 6									
	ELA				Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
American Ind	ian								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Two or More I	Races								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	45.2%	29.0%	19.4%	6.5%	71.0%	12.9%	6.5%	9.7%	
Students with	n Disabilities								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	55.1%	23.6%	17.8%	3.5%	69.4%	16.9%	10.2%	3.6%	
Students with	n IEPs								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	55.1%	23.6%	17.8%	3.5%	69.4%	16.9%	10.2%	3.6%	
Non-IEP									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	45.8%	29.2%	25.0%	0.0%	79.2%	16.7%	4.2%	0.0%	
English Learners									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	58.6%	23.0%	16.9%	1.5%	73.3%	17.9%	7.3%	1.5%	
Non-English l	_earners								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	53.7%	24.0%	18.3%	4.0%	68.4%	16.5%	10.9%	4.2%	

DLM (cont)

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 6									
	ELA				Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	
Low Income									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	52.8%	23.5%	20.4%	3.3%	67.4%	17.9%	11.1%	3.6%	
Non Low Inco	me								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	57.5%	24.1%	14.8%	3.6%	72.4%	15.6%	8.6%	3.4%	
Homeless									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	42.9%	28.6%	21.4%	7.1%	64.3%	21.4%	14.3%	0.0%	
Migrant									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
Youth In Care									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	27.8%	27.8%	44.4%	0.0%	55.6%	22.2%	5.6%	16.7%	
Military									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	42.9%	42.9%	14.3%	0.0%	57.1%	42.9%	0.0%	0.0%	

DLM (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 7								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	54.7%	26.5%	16.8%	2.0%	76.7%	13.5%	7.5%	2.4%
White								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	52.8%	27.1%	17.8%	2.3%	77.1%	13.6%	6.8%	2.5%
Black								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	50.2%	30.0%	18.1%	1.8%	73.9%	13.7%	9.7%	2.7%
Hispanic								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	60.8%	22.3%	15.0%	1.8%	76.6%	15.3%	5.8%	2.2%
Asian								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	59.5%	32.4%	8.1%	0.0%	83.3%	2.8%	11.1%	2.8%
Male								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	54.9%	27.2%	15.9%	2.1%	75.3%	14.8%	7.6%	2.3%
Female								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	54.3%	25.2%	18.6%	1.9%	79.4%	10.8%	7.2%	2.5%

DLM (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

						(
Grade 7								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Native Hawa	iian/ Pacific Isl	ander						
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
American In	dian		1	1		1	1	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More	Races							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	56.7%	23.3%	16.7%	3.3%	80.0%	6.7%	13.3%	0.0%
Students wi	th Disabilities							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	54.5%	26.4%	17.0%	2.1%	76.6%	13.5%	7.5%	2.5%
Students wi	th IEPs							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	54.5%	26.4%	17.0%	2.1%	76.6%	13.5%	7.5%	2.5%
Non-IEP			l		1	1	1	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	61.5%	30.8%	7.7%	0.0%	80.0%	12.0%	8.0%	0.0%
English Lear	ners							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	57.0%	27.8%	13.9%	1.3%	78.0%	11.9%	7.6%	2.5%
Non-English	Learners							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	54.1%	26.1%	17.6%	2.2%	76.3%	13.9%	7.4%	2.4%

DLM (cont)

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 7								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Low Income								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	51.1%	28.1%	18.1%	2.8%	74.8%	15.0%	8.0%	2.3%
Non Low Inco	ome							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	59.4%	24.5%	15.0%	1.1%	79.1%	11.5%	6.8%	2.6%
Homeless								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	41.2%	29.4%	23.5%	5.9%	76.5%	17.6%	5.9%	0.0%
Migrant								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Youth In Care								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	61.9%	19.0%	19.0%	0.0%	81.0%	9.5%	4.8%	4.8%
Military								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	75.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

DLM (cont)

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 8								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	53.0%	31.6%	15.3%	0.1%	62.7%	31.5%	4.5%	1.3%
White								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	51.6%	31.7%	16.7%	0.0%	64.6%	30.8%	3.5%	1.2%
Black								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	55.8%	29.8%	14.4%	0.0%	64.4%	29.8%	4.4%	1.5%
Hispanic								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	54.7%	31.1%	13.9%	0.4%	60.8%	32.5%	5.7%	1.1%
Asian								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	52.1%	33.3%	14.6%	0.0%	56.3%	33.3%	6.3%	4.2%
Male								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	55.1%	30.2%	14.6%	0.1%	63.2%	30.5%	4.7%	1.7%
Female								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	49.4%	34.0%	16.6%	0.0%	62.0%	33.2%	4.1%	0.8%

DLM (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 8								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Native Hawa	iian/ Pacific Isla	ander						
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
American Inc	dian							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.0%	75.0%	25.0%	0.0%	25.0%	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More	Races							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	50.0%	36.8%	13.2%	0.0%	55.3%	36.8%	7.9%	0.0%
Students wit	th Disabilities							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	53.2%	31.8%	14.9%	0.1%	62.7%	31.4%	4.5%	1.4%
Students wit	th IEPs							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	53.2%	31.8%	14.9%	0.1%	62.7%	31.4%	4.5%	1.4%
Non-IEP								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	41.2%	17.6%	41.2%	0.0%	61.1%	38.9%	0.0%	0.0%
English Lear	ners							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	54.3%	32.6%	13.1%	0.0%	61.6%	32.4%	4.1%	1.8%
Non-English	Learners							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	52.7%	31.3%	15.9%	0.1%	63.0%	31.3%	4.6%	1.2%

DLM (cont)

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 8								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Low Income	Low Income							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	54.6%	31.5%	13.9%	0.0%	63.6%	30.9%	4.4%	1.1%
Non Low Inco	me							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	51.2%	31.7%	16.9%	0.2%	61.7%	32.2%	4.5%	1.6%
Homeless	Homeless							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	43.8%	56.3%	0.0%	0.0%	62.5%	37.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Migrant								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Youth In Care								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	75.0%	18.8%	6.3%	0.0%	86.7%	13.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Military								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

DLM (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 5				
	Science			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All				
District	*	*	*	*
State	75.2%	18.7%	5.9%	0.2%
White				
District	*	*	*	*
State	73.7%	19.3%	6.6%	0.4%
Black				
District	*	*	*	*
State	75.9%	17.8%	6.3%	0.0%
Hispanic				
District	*	*	*	*
State	79.1%	17.8%	3.1%	0.0%
Asian				
District	*	*	*	*
State	77.1%	17.1%	5.7%	0.0%
Male				
District	*	*	*	*
State	74.1%	19.2%	6.3%	0.3%
Female				
District	*	*	*	*
State	77.3%	17.7%	5.0%	0.0%

DLM (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 5							
	Science						
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific I	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander						
District	*	*	*	*			
State	*	*	*	*			
American Indian							
District	*	*	*	*			
State	80.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%			
Two or More Races							
District	*	*	*	*			
State	64.5%	25.8%	9.7%	0.0%			
Students with Disabilities							
District	*	*	*	*			
State	75.3%	18.8%	5.7%	0.2%			
Students with IEPs							
District	*	*	*	*			
State	75.3%	18.8%	5.7%	0.2%			
Non-IEP							
District	*	*	*	*			
State	71.4%	14.3%	14.3%	0.0%			
English Learners							
District	*	*	*	*			
State	77.7%	17.6%	4.6%	0.0%			
Non-English Learners							
District	*	*	*	*			
State	74.4%	19.0%	6.3%	0.3%			

DLM (cont)

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 5							
	Science						
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
Low Income	Low Income						
District	*	*	*	*			
State	73.1%	21.4%	5.5%	0.0%			
Non Low Income							
District	*	*	*	*			
State	78.0%	15.1%	6.3%	0.5%			
Homeless							
District	*	*	*	*			
State	58.3%	25.0%	16.7%	0.0%			
Migrant							
District	*	*	*	*			
State	*	*	*	*			
Youth In Care							
District	*	*	*	*			
State	71.4%	21.4%	7.1%	0.0%			
Military							
District	*	*	*	*			
State	75.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%			

DLM (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 8				
	Science			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All				
District	*	*	*	*
State	65.9%	23.0%	10.3%	0.8%
White				
District	*	*	*	*
State	65.2%	23.7%	9.5%	1.6%
Black				
District	*	*	*	*
State	68.9%	21.4%	9.7%	0.0%
Hispanic				
District	*	*	*	*
State	64.4%	24.3%	11.3%	0.0%
Asian				
District	*	*	*	*
State	65.2%	17.4%	15.2%	2.2%
Male				
District	*	*	*	*
State	66.3%	21.3%	11.4%	1.0%
Female				
District	*	*	*	*
State	65.2%	25.9%	8.4%	0.6%

DLM (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 8						
	Science					
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4		
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific I	slander					
District	*	*	*	*		
State	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%		
American Indian						
District	*	*	*	*		
State	0.0%	75.0%	25.0%	0.0%		
Two or More Races						
District	*	*	*	*		
State	76.5%	14.7%	8.8%	0.0%		
Students with Disabilities	Students with Disabilities					
District	*	*	*	*		
State	65.9%	23.0%	10.3%	0.8%		
Students with IEPs						
District	*	*	*	*		
State	65.9%	23.0%	10.3%	0.8%		
Non-IEP						
District	*	*	*	*		
State	66.7%	22.2%	11.1%	0.0%		
English Learners						
District	*	*	*	*		
State	64.1%	23.9%	12.0%	0.0%		
Non-English Learners						
District	*	*	*	*		
State	66.4%	22.8%	9.8%	1.0%		

DLM (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 8							
	Science						
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
Low Income	Low Income						
District	*	*	*	*			
State	65.2%	23.7%	10.6%	0.6%			
Non Low Income							
District	*	*	*	*			
State	66.7%	22.3%	9.9%	1.1%			
Homeless							
District	*	*	*	*			
State	60.0%	33.3%	6.7%	0.0%			
Migrant							
District	*	*	*	*			
State	*	*	*	*			
Youth In Care							
District	*	*	*	*			
State	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
Military							
District	*	*	*	*			
State	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			

ISA

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

This shows the percentage and number of students scoring at each of the Performance Levels for the Illinois Science Assessment (ISA). The ISA performance levels indicate students' understanding of the Illinois Learning Standards in science for their grade level. There are four Performance Levels for the ISA:

- Level 1 Emerging: Work at this level shows a partial application of knowledge and skills. It is superficial (lacks depth), fragmented, or incomplete and needs considerable development. Work contains errors or omissions.
- Level 2 Developing: Work at this level does not meet the standard. It shows basic, but inconsistent, application of knowledge and skills. Minor errors or omissions detract from the overall quality. Work needs further development.
- Level 3 Proficient: Work at this level meets the standard. It is acceptable work that demonstrates application of essential knowledge and skills. Minor errors or omissions do not detract from the overall quality.
- Level 4 Exemplary: Work at this level is of exceptional quality. It is both thorough and accurate. It exceeds the standard. It shows a sophisticated application of knowledge and skills.

ISA (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 5				
	Science			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All				
District	10.0%	20.0%	60.0%	10.0%
State	24.5%	28.7%	37.3%	9.4%
White				
District	2.6%	20.5%	66.7%	10.3%
State	15.2%	27.8%	45.0%	12.0%
Black				
District	*	*	*	*
State	52.7%	29.6%	16.2%	1.5%
Hispanic				
District	*	*	*	*
State	36.1%	32.9%	27.2%	3.8%
Asian				
District	*	*	*	*
State	10.0%	19.1%	46.8%	24.2%
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific I	slander			
District	*	*	*	*
State	22.1%	30.2%	32.6%	15.1%
American Indian				
District	*	*	*	*
State	32.9%	28.1%	34.1%	4.8%

ISA (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 5				
	Science			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Two or More Races				
District	*	*	*	*
State	23.3%	28.1%	37.4%	11.2%
Students with Disabilities				
District	*	*	*	*
State	48.0%	27.2%	20.4%	4.4%
Students with IEPs				
District	*	*	*	*
State	55.2%	26.7%	15.4%	2.6%
Non-IEP				
District	6.8%	18.2%	63.6%	11.4%
State	19.6%	29.0%	40.8%	10.5%
English Learners				
District	*	*	*	*
State	57.0%	32.8%	10.1%	0.2%
Male				
District	14.8%	14.8%	55.6%	14.8%
State	25.7%	27.2%	36.7%	10.3%
Female				
District	4.3%	26.1%	65.2%	4.3%
State	23.3%	30.3%	37.9%	8.5%

ISA (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 5				
	Science			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
English Learners				
District	*	*	*	*
State	57.0%	32.8%	10.1%	0.2%
Non-English Learners				
District	6.4%	19.1%	63.8%	10.6%
State	20.4%	28.2%	40.7%	10.6%
Low Income				
District	20.0%	30.0%	30.0%	20.0%
State	40.0%	32.5%	24.7%	2.8%
Non Low Income				
District	7.5%	17.5%	67.5%	7.5%
State	13.3%	26.0%	46.5%	14.3%
Homeless				
District	*	*	*	*
State	46.1%	31.4%	20.1%	2.4%
Migrant				
District	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*
Youth In Care				
District	*	*	*	*
State	45.3%	32.3%	20.9%	1.5%
Military				
District	*	*	*	*
State	21.1%	25.8%	44.8%	8.3%

ISA (cont)

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 8				
	Science			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All				
District	2.1%	29.2%	41.7%	27.1%
State	14.0%	38.2%	33.7%	14.0%
White				
District	0.0%	29.7%	43.2%	27.0%
State	8.6%	34.3%	39.8%	17.4%
Black				
District	*	*	*	*
State	32.4%	47.8%	16.7%	3.1%
Hispanic				
District	*	*	*	*
State	19.7%	46.7%	27.0%	6.7%
Asian				
District	*	*	*	*
State	4.7%	20.2%	38.4%	36.7%
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Is	slander			
District	*	*	*	*
State	10.3%	32.2%	37.9%	19.5%
American Indian				
District	*	*	*	*
State	13.8%	38.6%	32.9%	14.6%

ISA (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 8				
	Science			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Two or More Races				
District	*	*	*	*
State	13.2%	39.1%	32.9%	14.8%
Students with Disabilities				
District	0.0%	50.0%	20.0%	30.0%
State	32.6%	44.2%	17.2%	6.1%
Students with IEPs				
District	*	*	*	*
State	40.1%	46.6%	10.8%	2.5%
Non-IEP				
District	2.3%	23.3%	46.5%	27.9%
State	10.2%	37.0%	37.1%	15.7%
English Learners				
District	•	*	*	*
State	39.7%	52.5%	7.4%	0.5%
Male				
District	3.4%	31.0%	31.0%	34.5%
State	15.9%	36.9%	32.1%	15.1%
Female				
District	0.0%	26.3%	57.9%	15.8%
State	12.0%	39.6%	35.5%	12.9%

ISA (cont)

• Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 8				
	Science			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
English Learners				
District	*	*	*	*
State	39.7%	52.5%	7.4%	0.5%
Non-English Learners				
District	2.1%	27.7%	42.6%	27.7%
State	12.0%	37.1%	35.8%	15.0%
Low Income				
District	*	*	*	*
State	23.3%	47.4%	24.0%	5.2%
Non Low Income				
District	0.0%	26.7%	44.4%	28.9%
State	8.5%	32.8%	39.5%	19.2%
Homeless				
District	*	*	*	*
State	27.7%	47.2%	21.3%	3.8%
Migrant				
District	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*
Youth In Care				
District	*	*	*	*
State	30.1%	49.2%	17.9%	2.8%
Military				
District	*	*	*	*
State	13.1%	37.6%	35.7%	13.5%

Proficiency

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

The federal Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) requires states to assess their learning standards for ELA, math, and science. Each state may also have a general assessment for the majority of its students and an alternate assessment for the 1 percent of students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. The All Test Proficiency measure is the proficiency rate for students combining all tests. A rate is calculated for ELA, math, and science.

ELA - All Tests

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military				
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				

Mathematics - All Tests

	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Proficiency (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Mathematics - All Tests

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	* *

Science - A	All Tests										
	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military				
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				

Mean Growth Percentile - IAR



What is it?

This shows the growth of students relative to other students in the state with a similar scale score in the preceding school year(s). If the data is available, the Student Growth Percentile (SGP) takes up to two prior scores. The school, district, and state measure is the sum of the students' SGP divided by the number of students with an SGP. Illinois uses SGPs to calculate growth. Proficiency shows whether or not students have mastered a common, high standard; whereas, growth recognizes progress toward and beyond the standard, no matter where each student started. Data show that students of all races, income levels, languages, and disability statutes demonstrate growth across the full range. Our rigorous assessments ensure there is more than enough room to measure the growth of even Illinois' highest achievers.

ELA											
	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military				
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
State	*	* *	*	*	* *	*	*				

cs										
AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
*	* *	* *	* *	*	*	*	*	*	* *	*
Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military				
*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
* *	*	* *	*	*	*	*				
	AII * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	All Male * * * * * Students English Learners * * * *	All Male Female * * * * * * * * * Students English Low Income * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	All Male Female White *	All Male Female White Black * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	All Male Female White Black Hispanic * * * * * * * * <	All Male Female White Black Hispanic Asian *	All Male Female White Black Hispanic Asian Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander * <td>All Male Female White Black Hispanic Asian Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander American Indian *</td> <td> Native</td>	All Male Female White Black Hispanic Asian Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander American Indian *	Native

Participation Rate

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

This shows the percentage and number of students participating in standardized testing, by subject and applicable test. Some students may take the test for one subject but not another. According to Illinois School Code, all students enrolled in public schools are required to participate in the state assessment or an alternate form of the assessment. Students who participate but for various reasons do not complete the testing process (e.g., illness) are still counted as having participated.

ELA - All Tests

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	68.9 %	69.5 %	68.3% *	71.6 %	75.0 %	59.7 %	65.6 %	0.0%	100.0%	40.0 %	61.3 %
State	74.7 %	75.0 %	74.3 %	86.8 %	61.6 %	62.7 %	71.9 %	69.9 %	63.9 %	75.4 %	73.9 %

	with IEPs	Learners	Income
District	64.8 % *	64.0 %	61.4% *
State	73.7 %	61.0 %	67.0 %

Mathematics - All Tests

	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	68.1 %	68.9 %	67.4 %	70.9% *	50.0 %	59.7% *	65.6% *	0.0%	100.0%	40.0 %	59.5 %
State	74.0 %	74.2 %	73.6 %	86.2 %	60.4 %	61.9%	71.4 %	68.8 %	63.3 %	74.7 %	73.1% *

Participation Rate (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Mathematics - All Tests

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
District	62.3 %	64.0 %	60.0% *
State	72.9 %	60.2% *	66.1%

Science - All Tests

	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low								

	with IEPs	Learners	Income
District	*	*	*
State	*	*	*

Participation Rate (cont)

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

1 /			1 A
	١ĸ	-	1 A

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income								
District	*	*	*								
State	*	*	*								

IAR Mathematics

State

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income								
District	*	*	*								

Participation Rate (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

DLM ELA

	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income								
District	*	*	*								

DLM Mathematics

State

State

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income								
District	*	*	*								

Participation Rate (cont)


⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19


_			
ı	0	A	
ı		Δ	

ISA											
	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income								
District	*	*	*								
State	*	*	*								

About the data

District environment data includes information regarding finances as well as information regarding conditions in the classroom, such as average class size and total school days.





No Data district's percentage of adequacy

Evidence-Based Funding

Early Learning



What is it?

This shows the percentage of entering kindergartners rated on their readiness. The Kindergarten Individual Development Survey (KIDS) was implemented statewide in Illinois in the 2017-18 school year. KIDS is an observational assessment tool designed to help teachers, administrators, families, and policymakers better understand the development of children in kindergarten. ISBE requires kindergarten teachers to collect observations beginning on the first day of in-person instruction on a minimum of 14 required measures, which are from three key developmental areas. This subset of 14 measures provides a developmental indicator for a group of students (class, school, district, state) in kindergarten.

Kindergarten Individual Developmental Survey (KIDS) Results - Overall

	% of Entering Kindergartners	% of Entering Kind	of Entering Kindergartners Demonstrating Readiness by Developmental Area							
	Rated on Required 14 Measures	In 0 Developmental Areas	In 1 Developmental Area	In 2 Developmental Areas	In AII 3 Developmnetal Areas	Social and Emotional Development	Language and Literacy Development	Math		
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		

% Entering Kindergartners Demonstrating Readiness in all 3 Developmental Areas - KIDS Results

	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

	Non-IEP	Non- English Learners	Non Low Income
District	*	*	*
State	*	*	*

School Level Finances

What is it?

Average spending per student at this school, as collected through the unaudited ESSA Site-Based Expenditure Report. Districts report the actual dollars spent in the previous school year, including site-level costs (like school staff) and districtwide centralized costs allocated to each individual school (like transportation and central office staff), divided by the school's enrollment. Districts also report whether federal or state/local sources funded the spending.

	Distr					strict Centralized Per Pupil						
		Site level	Per Pupil Expe	enditures	Expendit	ures		Total Per	Pupil Expendit	ures		Total
	Enrollment	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Total	Exclusions	Expenditures
District	725	\$231	\$7,140	\$7,371	\$343	\$6,122	\$6,465	\$574	\$13,262	\$13,836	\$1,407,131	\$11,438,340

School Level Finances (cont)

	Site level Per Pupil Expenditures		District Centralized Per Pupil Expenditures			Total Per Pupil Expenditures				
	Enrollment	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Total
District	725	\$231	\$7,140	\$7,371	\$343	\$6,122	\$6,465	\$574	\$13,262	\$13,836
Roselle Middle School	246	\$159	\$7,869	\$8,028	\$343	\$6,122	\$6,465	\$502	\$13,991	\$14,493
Spring Hills Elem School	479	\$268	\$6,766	\$7,034	\$343	\$6,122	\$6,465	\$611	\$12,887	\$13,499

District Finances

What is it?

The Annual Financial Report (AFR) for a district is the final financial statement for the fiscal year of a Local Education Agency (LEA) after it has been audited by a qualified auditor. Each district is required to have an annual audit completed by a qualified and licensed auditing firm. The AFR is completed by the auditor and is submitted to Illinois State Board of Education. This displays the Revenue by Source, Expenditure by Function, Expenditure by Fund, and other financial indicators.

Revenue By Source

	Local Property Taxes	Other Local Funding	Evidence-Faced Funding	Other State Funding	Federal Funding	Total Revenue
District	85.0% \$10,111,703	4.9% \$587,390	5.0% \$590,418	2.0% \$241,147	3.1% \$366,505	\$11,897,163
State	60.1%	5.8%	22.3%	4.7%	7.1%	*

Expenditure By Function

	Instruction	General Administration	Supporting Services	Other Expenditures
District	46.4%	3.8%	27.5%	22.3%
State	47.4%	3.0%	29.0%	20.7%

Expenditure By Fund

	Education	Operations & Maintenance	Transportation	Debt Service	Tort	Municipal Retirement/ Social Security	Fire Prevention & Safety	Capital Projects	Total Expenditure
District	78.3% \$8,677,112	4.6% \$514,687	3.5% \$393,617	12.0% \$1,328,973	0.0% \$0	1.6% \$174,415	0.0% \$0	0.0% \$0	\$11,088,804
State	70.1%	7.1%	3.6%	8.8%	1.2%	1.9%	0.5%	6.8%	*

District Finances (cont)

Other Financial Indicators	3			
	2018 Equalized Assessed Valuation per Pupil	2018 Total School Tax Rate per \$100	2019-20 Instructional Expenditure per Pupil	2019-20 Operating Expenditure per Pupil
District	\$457,168	3.5	\$8,113	\$14,162
State	*	*	\$8,826	\$14,747

Average Class Size

What is it?

This shows the average number of students in each class at this school. Class size refers to the number of students in a classroom, specifically either the number of students being taught by individual teachers in a classroom or the average number of students being taught by teachers in a school, district, or education system

	PK	K	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Overall
District	*	18	18	17	20	18	25	28	26	27	21
State	4	19	20	20	20	21	21	21	21	21	20

Total School Days

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

This shows the total number of days in which the school provided at least five hours of instruction during the school year. The minimum length for an Illinois public school's year is 176 days. The number of actual calendar days varies from district to district.

	Total School Days
District	178
State	177

Health and Wellness

🔥 Possible data impact due to COVID-19


What is it?

This shows the average number of days of physical education per week per student.

	Days PE per week
District	4
State	3

About the data

Student data includes information regarding the student population, including enrollment and attendance data, as well as information regarding specific student groups, such as gifted students and English Learner students. Student data often is disaggregated further into demographic student groups.



Student Enrollment

What is it?

This shows the total percentage and number of students enrolled in this school as of October 1 of the current school year. District-level enrollment includes students attending a school in the district and students placed in private schools by the district and funded by the district. The student enrollment excludes the following:

- Students given vouchers to attend private schools
- Students placed by their parents in private schools whose tuition is paid for by their parents, but who receive special education services from their home district

By Subgroups

								Native Hawaiian/ Pacific	American	Two or More	Students with
	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	Disabilities
District	100.0% 679	49.9% 339	50.1% 340	75.8% 515	0.0%	15.6% 106	5.9% 40	0.0%	0.0%	1.5% 10	15.2% 103
State	100.0% 1,887,316	51.3% 969,086	48.7 % 918,230	46.7% 880,891	16.6% 312,609	27.0% 510,387	5.4% 102,407	0.1% 1,942	0.2% 4,650	3.9% 74,430	18.3 % 345,533
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military				
District	10.9% 74	15.3% 104	23.9% 162	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%				
State	14.9 % 281,241	12.9 % 243,308	48.1% 908,417	1.7% 32,284	0.0% 326	0.7% 13,062	0.7% 12,743				

By Grades

	PK	K	Grade1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8
District	*	66	79	78	69	70	76	80	77	80
State	68,067	120,110	127,671	127,907	130,321	134,540	136,665	141,642	146,930	149,255

Advanced Academic Programs

What is it?

Advanced Academic Programs are courses of study to which students are assigned based on advanced cognitive ability or advanced academic achievement compared to local age peers and in which the curriculum is substantially differentiated from the general curriculum to provide appropriate challenge and pace.

Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	8.0 % 156,197	7.5 % 74,801	8.6% 81,388	7.7 % 69,509	5.4 % 17,793	7.8 % 41,113	20.3% 21,376	13.0 % 256	7.7 % 385	7.4 % 5,765	4.3 % 15,015
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care						
District	*	*	*	*	*						
State	1.9% 5,501	2.3% 5,720	5.5% 50,536	2.2% 512	1.0% 139						

Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - ELA

	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.5% 9,062	0.3% 3,144	0.6% 5,918	0.6% 5,606	0.2% 634	0.3% 1,776	0.6% 627	0.2% 3	0.5% 26	0.5% 390	0.2% 842

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - ELA

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.1% 247	0.1% 130	0.2% 2,196	0.1% 32	0.0% 7

Students E	Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - Math												
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities		
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	1.2% 24,290	1.4% 14,444	1.0% 9,845	1.5% 13,688	0.2% 739	0.8% 4,135	4.4 % 4,620	1.2% 23	1.6% 79	1.3% 1,006	0.6% 1,937		
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care								
District	*	*	*	*	*								
State	0.3% 773	0.3% 846	0.5% 4,371	0.2% 57	0.1 % 12								

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - Mutiple Subjects

					,						
	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	5.2% 100,406	4.6% 46,281	5.7% 54,120	4.6 % 41,443	3.9% 12,686	5.3% 27,815	13.7% 14,366	10.5% 207	4.4% 219	4.7% 3,670	2.7% 9,334
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care						
District	*	*	*	*	*						
State	1.1% 3,069	1.0% 2,626	3.8% 34,624	1.1% 250	0.6% 90						

Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - Whole Grade

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.2% 4,782	0.2% 2,132	0.3% 2,650	0.1% 929	0.6% 1,891	0.2% 1,279	0.5% 497	0.4% 8	0.3% 17	0.2% 161	0.1% 473

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - Whole Grade

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.1% 253	0.3% 810	0.3% 2,705	0.0% 0	0.1% 14

Students Enrolled in Advanced Placement Coursework

Students Enrolled in Advanced Placement Coursework													
	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities		
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	23.6% 145,272	20.2% 63,878	27.2% 81,389	24.5% 71,845	13.0% 12,944	22.6% 37,832	53.1% 17,209	31.9% 203	19.9% 291	23.9% 4,948	8.8 % 10,245		
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care								
District	*	*	*	*	*								
State	2.7 % 2,349	7.0% 2,911	16.0% 42,899	*	*								

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Students Enrolled in IB Coursework

		D OOG!OCW									
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	1.0% 6,121	0.8% 2,379	1.3% 3,742	0.3% 824	1.9% 1,842	1.7% 2,922	1.2% 396	0.8% 5	1.6% 23	0.5% 109	0.5 % 543
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care						
District	*	*	*	*	*						
State	0.2% 163	0.5% 195	1.6% 4,311	*	*						

Students Enrolled in any course designated as Enriched or Honors

	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	20.1% 390,785	18.3% 183,736	21.9% 207,040	22.4% 202,744	13.3% 43,538	17.6% 92,777	34.4 % 36,095	23.3% 461	16.4% 815	18.4 % 14,355	10.7 % 37,437

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Students Enrolled in any course designated as Enriched or Honors

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	5.9% 16,764	5.0% 12,638	13.4% 122,600	9.6% 2,248	4.0% 575

Students E	Students Enrolled in any dual-credit course where college credit was earned												
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities		
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	12.9% 79,372	12.2% 38,630	13.6% 40,740	15.5% 45,567	9.2% 9,109	10.2% 17,161	14.8% 4,805	12.6% 80	10.3% 151	12.1% 2,499	7.7% 9,010		
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care								
District	*	*	*	*	*								
State	5.8% 5,133	6.1% 2,557	9.7% 25,969	*	*								

Gifted Students

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

This shows the number and percentage of students who have been assessed for giftedness. The current guidelines within which gifted education programs operate was established with reauthorization of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act in 1988 and passage of the Jacob Javits Gifted and Talented Students Education Act. At the federal level, the program supports "evidence-based research, demonstration projects, innovative strategies, and similar activities designed to build and enhance the ability of elementary schools and secondary schools nationwide to identify gifted and talented students and meet their special educational needs." Within Illinois, school districts define both the criteria for "giftedness" and the assessments used to measure it, and self-report data on their programs of gifted education.

Students Assessed For Giftedness

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	9.7% 188,673	9.6% 96,413	9.8% 92,259	10.5% 95,102	6.1% 19,993	7.5% 39,207	23.1% 24,303	13.1% 258	10.7% 533	11.9% 9,277	*
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care						
District	*	*	*	*	*						
State	7.2 % 20,532	7.0% 17,655	6.5% 59,670	*	*						

Student Assessed For Giftedness Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	1.3% 24,668	1.3% 12,576	1.3% 12,092	1.5% 13,267	0.5% 1,720	0.8% 3,955	4.2% 4,367	2.0% 40	1.6% 79	1.6% 1,240	*

Gifted Students (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Student Assessed For Giftedness Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.6% 1,594	0.5% 1,238	0.5% 4,817	*	*

Students lo	dentified A	s Gifted									
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	3.4 % 65,476	3.4 % 33,874	3.3% 31,601	3.4 % 30,346	2.0% 6,537	2.2% 11,680	12.7% 13,394	6.7% 133	3.2% 158	4.1% 3,228	*
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care						
District	*	*	*	*	*						
State	0.7% 2,067	0.5% 1,224	1.8% 16,100	*	*						

Students

Gifted Students (cont)

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Students Identified As Gifted Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers

Students it	tudents identified As diffed laught by diffed-Endorsed Teachers										
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.7% 13,016	0.7% 6,723	0.7% 6,293	0.7% 6,289	0.3 % 1,123	0.3% 1,672	3.0% 3,122	1.3% 25	0.9 % 44	1.0% 741	*
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care						
District	*	*	*	*	*						
State	0.1% 383	0.1% 156	0.2% 2,156	*	*						

Students With IEPs

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who receive special education and related services in accordance with their Individualized Education Programs (IEPs). Each student who is found eligible for special education and related services receives an IEP that specifies supplemental services, accommodations, modifications, and supports to enable the student to be involved in, and make progress in, the general education curriculum. The state collects demographic information on all students, including racial and ethnic diversity. There are 14 disability areas, ranging from autism to visual impairment. Schools follow a process mandated by law in identifying students' disabilities and then developing IEPs.

By Race/ Ethnicity

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races
District	All Students	10.5%	0.4%	2.5%	0.3%	*	*	*
	Students with IEPs	76.3%	3.2%	18.3%	2.2%	*	*	*
All Peer	All Students	30.1%	39.0%	34.7%	20.5%	*	*	*
Districts*								
	Students with IEPs	47.7%	15.2%	28.1%	4.3%	*	*	*
State		47.7 % 6.9 %	3.0%	28.1 % 4.2 %	4.3% 0.4%	*	*	*

By Disability Category

		Autism	Deafness	Deaf- Blindness	Developmental Delay	Emotional Disability	Hearing Impairment	Intellectual Disability
District	All Students	1.9%	*	*	2.1%	0.4%	0.3%	0.1%
	Students with IEPs	14.0%	*	*	15.1%	3.2%	2.2%	1.1%
All Peer	All Students	3.2%	*	*	6.8%	1.5%	0.3%	0.8%
Districts *	Students with IEPs	9.9%	*	*	21.0%	4.7%	1.0%	2.6%
State	All Students	1.5%	*	*	2.0%	0.9%	0.1%	0.7%
	Students with IEPs	10.0%	*	*	13.3%	6.2%	0.8%	4.9%

Students

Students With IEPs (cont)

By Disability Category

		Multiple Disabilities	Orthopedic Impairment	Other Health Impairment	Specific Learning Disability	Speech or Language Impairment	Traumatic Brain Injury	Visual Impairment
District	All Students	*	*	2.5%	2.1%	4.1%	*	0.1%
	Students with IEPs	*	*	18.3%	15.1%	30.1%	*	1.1%
All Peer	All Students	*	*	3.7%	8.1%	7.3%	*	0.1%
Districts *	Students with IEPs	*	*	11.5%	25.0%	22.5%	*	0.3%
State	All Students	*	*	2.1%	5.1%	2.4%	*	0.1%
	Students with	*	*	13.6%	33.5%	15.7%	*	0.3%

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students with IEPs and the classification of their educational environment. Special education law requires that students with IEPs be placed to the maximum extent appropriate with children who are not disabled. This means including students with IEPs in general classrooms, the least restrictive environment, as much as possible. The IEP team, which includes parents, bases placement decisions on the best ways to meet the identified needs of a student. The percentage of time spent in general classrooms will vary according to the needs of individual students, who may require supplemental services to achieve their learning goals. The percentage of students with IEPs whose educational environment was classified into one of four settings for students age 6 to 21 is as follows:

- 80 percent or more of time in a general classroom with their non-disabled peers
- Less than 40 percent of time in a general classroom
- 40-79 percent of time in a general classroom
- In a separate facility

By Race/ Ethnicity

	Inside>=80	Inside 40-79	Inside < 40	Separate Facility
All				
District	78.5%	8.9%	8.9%	3.8%
All Peer Districts *	60.7%	19.5%	14.3%	5.6%
State	54.2%	26.1%	13.3%	6.4%
White				
District	84.7%	5.1%	5.1%	5.1%
All Peer Districts *	64.4%	19.6%	11.4%	4.6%
State	57.8%	24.8%	11.2%	6.3%
Black				
District	33.3%	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%
All Peer Districts *	48.1%	22.7%	18.4%	10.9%
State	45.2%	29.8%	16.7%	8.3%
Hispanic				
District	66.7%	26.7%	6.7%	0.0%
All Peer Districts *	61.7%	17.8%	16.2%	4.3%
State	55.0%	26.7%	13.5%	4.9%

By Race/ Ethnicity	y Race/ Ethnicity									
	Inside >= 80	Inside 40-79	Inside < 40	Separate Facility						
Asian										
District	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%						
All Peer Districts *	59.9%	16.8%	18.6%	4.7%						
State	53.6%	19.4%	20.2%	6.9%						
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific	Islander									
District	*	*	*	*						
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*						
State	*	*	*	*						
American Indian										
District	*	*	*	*						
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*						
State	*	*	*	*						
Two or More Races	Two or More Races									
District	*	*	*	*						
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*						
State	*	*	*	*						

For Selected Disabilities				
	Inside>=80	Inside 40-79	Inside < 40	Separate Facility
Autism				
District	58.3%	8.3%	16.7%	16.7%
All Peer Districts *	32.8%	17.8%	35.5%	13.9%
State	29.4%	22.1%	32.6%	15.9%
Emotional Disability				
District	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%
All Peer Districts *	40.5%	13.1%	14.5%	31.8%
State	35.6%	19.9%	14.4%	30.1%
Intellectual Disability				
District	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
All Peer Districts *	4.2%	24.6%	54.4%	16.9%
State	3.4%	29.8%	50.1%	16.6%
Other Health Impairment				
District	82.4%	5.9%	11.8%	0.0%
All Peer Districts *	62.7%	22.4%	10.4%	4.5%
State	57.8%	27.9%	9.5%	4.9%
Specific Learning Disabili	ty			
District	78.6%	21.4%	0.0%	0.0%
All Peer Districts *	57.6%	32.9%	8.8%	0.7%
State	55.0%	37.9%	6.2%	1.0%
Speech or Language Impa	irment			
District	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
All Peer Districts *	97.1%	1.9%	0.9%	0.1%
State	97.5%	1.7%	0.7%	0.1%

By Race/ Ethnicity								
	Regular Early Childhood P	rogram						
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider			
All								
District	42.9%	21.4%	0.0%	0.0%	35.7%			
All Peer Districts *	45.8%	15.9%	29.6%	0.2%	8.4%			
State	48.5%	17.1%	26.6%	0.2%	7.6%			
White								
District	41.7%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	41.7%			
All Peer Districts *	42.7%	19.0%	26.7%	0.3%	11.4%			
State	44.2%	22.1%	23.4%	0.4%	10.0%			
Black								
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
All Peer Districts *	42.3%	17.6%	37.3%	0.2%	2.6%			
State	50.0%	15.2%	32.0%	0.1%	2.7%			
Hispanic								
District	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
All Peer Districts *	52.1%	11.1%	31.2%	0.1%	5.5%			
State	56.7%	9.5%	28.5%	0.1%	5.2%			

By Race/ Ethnicity								
	Regular Early Childhood P	rogram						
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider			
Asian								
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
All Peer Districts *	47.1%	10.5%	35.1%	0.1%	7.1%			
State	48.4%	9.4%	34.3%	0.2%	7.7%			
Native Hawaiian/ Pac	ific Islander							
District	*	*	*	*	*			
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*	*			
State	*	*	*	*	*			
American Indian								
District	*	*	*	*	*			
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*	*			
State	*	*	*	*	*			
Two or More Races								
District	*	*	*	*	*			
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*	*			
State	*	*	*	*	*			

Early Childhood (EC) Educational Environments (ages 3-5)

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students with IEPs and the classification of their educational environment. Special education law requires that students with IEPs be placed to the maximum extent appropriate with children who are not disabled. This means including students with IEPs in general classrooms, the least restrictive environment, as much as possible. The IEP team, which includes parents, bases placement decisions on the best ways to meet the identified needs of a student. The percentage of time spent in general classrooms will vary according to the needs of individual students, who may require supplemental services to achieve their learning goals. For preschool students age 3-5 with IEPs, educational environments are classified in five ways:

- Receives the majority of special education services inside an early childhood program
- Enrolled in a regular early childhood program but the majority of special education services outside the early childhood program
- Enrolled in special education class or facility
- At home
- In the care of a service provider

For Selected Disabilities								
	Regular Early Childhood	Program						
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider			
Autism								
District	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
All Peer Districts *	23.9%	11.1%	64.1%	0.0%	0.9%			
State	31.3%	8.2%	60.1%	0.0%	0.4%			
Developmental Dela	у							
District	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%			
All Peer Districts *	49.0%	9.0%	39.9%	0.1%	1.9%			
State	53.6%	8.9%	36.2%	0.1%	1.3%			
Emotional Disability								
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
All Peer Districts *	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%			
State	42.9%	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%	28.6%			

Early Childhood (EC) Educational Environments (ages 3-5) (cont)

For Selected Disabilities												
	Regular Early Childhood Program											
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider							
Intellectual Disability												
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%							
All Peer Districts *	44.4%	0.0%	55.6%	0.0%	0.0%							
State	24.1%	13.8%	62.1%	0.0%	0.0%							
Other Health Impair	nent											
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%							
All Peer Districts *	39.0%	9.6%	50.0%	0.7%	0.7%							
State	42.6%	8.8%	45.9%	1.9%	0.9%							

For Selected Disabilities

For Selected disabilities												
	Regular Early Childhood Program											
	Majority of Services Majority of Services Inside EC Program Outside EC Program Separate Class/ Facility Home Service Provider											
Specific Learning Disability												
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%							
All Peer Districts *	50.0%	16.7%	29.2%	0.0%	4.2%							
State	53.8%	12.8%	23.1%	0.0%	10.3%							
Speech or Language	Impairment											
District	33.3%	22.2%	0.0%	0.0%	44.4%							
All Peer Districts *	47.5%	27.7%	4.1%	0.3%	20.4%							
State	46.8%	31.2%	3.3%	0.3%	18.4%							

State Performance Plan Indicators For Students With IEPs

What is it?

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA 2004) requires states to develop and submit a State Performance Plan (SPP) to the Office of Special Education Programs at the U.S. Department of Education. The SPP is designed to evaluate the state's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of IDEA and describe how the state will improve its implementation. The plan consists of several priority areas with specific indicators defined for each area. Measurable and rigorous targets are defined for each indicator to show progress throughout the life of the SPP. States are required to publicly report on SPP Indicators 1-14. A link to Part B of the Illinois State Performance Plan can be found at https://www.isbe.net/Pages/State-Performance-Plan-Data-and-Accountability.aspx

SPP Indicator	Indicator Description	2020-21 District Data	2020-21 State Target	District Met Target
1	Graduation Percent for students with IEPs (Data lag one year)	*	82.6	N/A
2	Dropout Percent for students with IEPs (Data lag one year)	*	13.7	N/A
3a1	Reading assessment participation rate for students with IEPs	*	*	*
3b1	Reading assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards	*	*	*
3b2	Math assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards	*	*	*
3c1	Reading assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs against alternate academic achievement standards	*	*	aje.
3c2	Math assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs against alternate academic achievement standards	*	*	*
4a	Did the district have significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of children with IEPs for greater than 10 days in -? (Data lag one year)	No	No	Yes
4b	Did the district have a significant discrepancy by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions greater than 10 days of children with IEPs and have policies, procedures and practices that contributed to the significant discrepancy? (Data lag one year)	No	No	Yes
5a	Students with IEPs ages 6-21(and 5-year-old kindergartners) inside the general classroom > 80% of the time	78.5	52.7	Yes
5b	Students with IEPs ages 6-21(and 5-year-old kindergartners) inside of the general classroom < 40% of the time	8.9	12.92	Yes
5c	Students ages 6-21 (and 5-year old kindergartners) with IEPs in separate educational facilities	3.8	6.68	Yes

State Performance Plan Indicators For Students With IEPs (cont)

SPP Indicator	Indicator Description	2020-21 District Data	2020-21 State Target	District Met Target
6a	Children ages 3–5 in regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	42.9	46	No
6b	Children ages 3-5 in separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	64.3	26.3	No
7a1	Children who entered or exited an Early Childhood Special Education program below age expectations who substantially increased their rate of growth with improved functioning in positive social-emotional skills by the time they exited the program	100.00	83.95	Yes
7a2	Children in an Early Childhood Special Education program who were functioning within age expectations with positive social-emotional skills by the time they exited the program	33.33	47.2	No
7b1	Children who entered or exited an Early Childhood Special Education program below age expectations who substantially increased their rate of growth with improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills by the time they exited the program	77.78	84.1	No
7b2	Children in an Early Childhood Special Education program who were functioning within age expectations with acquisition and use of knowledge and skills by the time they exited the program	33.33	45.1	No
7c1	Children who entered or exited an Early Childhood Special Education program that substantially increased their rate of growth in the use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs by the time they exited the program	100.00	85.8	Yes
7c2	Children in an Early Childhood Special Education program that were functioning within age expectations regarding the use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs by the time they exited the program.	44.44	53.4	No
8	Parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	*	70.5	N/A
9	Did the district have disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that was the result of inappropriate identification?	No	No	Yes
10	Did the district have disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that was a result of inappropriate identification?	No	No	Yes
11	Children who were evaluated and eligibility determined within 60 school days of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation	100.00	100	Yes
12	Children referred by early intervention prior to age three who were found eligible for special education services and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays	100.00	100	Yes
13	Youth age 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	*	100	N/A
14a	Youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and are enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school	*	29.6	N/A

State Performance Plan Indicators For Students With IEPs (cont)

SPP Indicator	Indicator Description	2020-21 District Data	2020-21 State Target	District Met Target
14b	Youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and are enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school	*	63.5	N/A
14c	Youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and are enrolled in higher education or some other post secondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school	*	75.75	N/A
3a2	Math assessment participation rate for students with IEPs	*	*	*
3d1	Gap in reading proficiency rates for students with IEPs and all students against grade level academic achievement standards	*	*	*
3d2	Gap in math proficiency rates for students with IEPs and all students against grade level academic achievement standards	*	*	*
6c	Children ages 3-5 receiving special education and related services in the home	*	0.28	N/A

English Learners

What is it?

This shows students whose primary language is not English. These students have been identified through a screening process as eligible for bilingual education and/or English as a second language services, and who have not yet reached English language proficiency as measured by ACCESS for ELLs 2.0.

EL on ACCESS

	ACCESS Enrollment	ACCESS Participation	Proficient	More than 7 years as an EL
District	*	100.0% 97	13.4%	* 3
State	*	99.8% 139,811	6.5%	* 38,907

Student Attendance

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

This shows the average daily attendance at this school. This is a weighted measure of the number of days present relative to the total number of potential attendance days.

	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	93.8%	93.4%	94.2%	94.5%	92.1%	91.5%	95.8%	72.7%	91.6%	84.8%	92.8%
State	92.5%	92.1%	92.9%	94.7%	86.7%	91.4%	96.5%	93.6%	90.8%	92.0%	90.2%
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income								
District	92.8%	93.2%	91.5%								
State	89.6%	91.9%	89.4%								

Student Mobility Rate

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

A school's student mobility rate is the percentage of students who experienced at least one transfer in or out of the school between the first school day of October and the last school day of the year. Graduates are not included.

Student Mobility

Student Mo	Dillity										
	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	3.3%	4.6%	2.0%	3.5%	*	2.6%	0.0%	*	*	0.0%	5.6%
State	6.1%	6.4%	5.8%	5.3%	9.5%	5.4%	4.4%	7.2%	7.8%	8.0%	5.5%
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income								
District	5.1%	2.9%	5.7%								
	6.0%	6.2%	7.7%								

Chronic Absenteeism Rate

A Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who miss 10 percent or more of school days per year either with or without a valid excuse. Illinois law defines "chronic absentee" as a student who misses 10 percent of school days within an academic year with or without a valid excuse. That's 18 days of an average 180-day school year. Excused absences include illness, suspension, need to care for a family member, etc. Students need daily instruction in order to succeed. Chronic absentees are at risk of academic and social problems.

Chronic Al	osenteeism	1									
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	18.3%	19.7%	16.8%	15.4%	*	25.2%	15.0%	*	*	66.7%	15.0%
State	21.1%	22.3%	19.9%	13.9%	39.0%	24.7%	8.1%	18.8%	26.7%	23.8%	28.0%
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income								
District	16.9%	21.8%	31.5%								

Students

Dropout Rate

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who are removed from the local enrollment roster before the end of a school term. Dropouts include students in Grades 9-12 whose names have been removed for any reason, including moved not known to be continuing, transfer to GED-program, and aged out. The percentage does not include death, extended illness, graduation/completion of a program of studies, transfer to another public/private/home school, or expulsion.

By Subgro	ups										
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	2.5%	3.0%	2.0%	2.1%	4.0%	2.6%	0.8%	2.0%	4.7%	3.3%	2.5%
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income								
District	*	*	*								
State	2.9%	4.1%	3.8%								

Chronically Truant Students

A Possible data impact due to COVID-19

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who are considered chronic truants as defined in Section 26-2a of the School Code. Chronic truants include students subject to compulsory attendance who have been absent without valid cause from such attendance for 5 percent or more of the previous 180 regular attendance days.

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	2.1%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	22.8%	24.0%	21.4%	11.4%	47.0%	30.2%	7.4%	20.9%	29.2%	23.9%	28.0%
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income								
District	*	*	7.0%								
State	30.0%	28.8%	36.0%								

Accountability

About the data

Summative designations are ratings that help families and communities understand how well schools are serving all students. Illinois has four summative designations: Exemplary School, Commendable School, Targeted School, and Comprehensive School. Targeted Schools and Comprehensive Schools receive additional funding and supports to build local capacity and improve student outcomes. Multiple measures of school performance and growth determine a school's annual* summative designation.

* Federal waivers issued in 2020 and 2021 impacted accountability. For more information, see www.isbe.net/summative.



No Data

School Improvement Funds

Teachers

About the data


Teacher data includes information regarding teachers, including salary, retention, and education, as well as information comparing the ratio of teachers to students.







Teacher Information



What is it?

This shows information pertaining to teachers, including experience, education, attendance, and evaluation.

	Average Teaching Experience	With Bachelor's Degrees	With Master's & Above	Attendance Rate	Evaluation Rate
District	12	26.0%	74.0%	87.4%	100.0%
State	*	39.8%	59.5%	85.7%	98.8%

Student-To-Teacher Ratios

What is it?

This shows the average number of students per teacher. Teachers classified as special education teachers are not included. Research indicates that children in lower grades show the potential for higher achievement scores when they are in smaller classes. Many factors contribute to student achievement, and class size is only one part of this bigger picture. Special education classes are not included in this calculation.

	Student-Teacher Ratio - Elementary	Student-Teacher Ratio - Secondary
District	15	*
State	17	18

Average Teacher Salary

What is it?

This shows the average salary for teachers. This information is reported at the district level.

	Average Teacher Salary
District	\$72,210
State	\$70,653

Teachers

Retention Rate

What is it?

This shows the three-year average percentage of full-time teachers returning to the same school year to year.

		AII	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Unknown
District	All	90.1% 128	89.8% 123	*	*	*	*	*	*	100.0% 5
	Male	84.0% 21	81.8% 18	*	*	*	*	*	*	100.0% 3
	Female	91.5% 107	91.3% 105	*	*	*	*	*	*	100.0%
State	All	87.1% 308,429	87.6 % 261,273	80.5 % 15,160	87.1 % 20,721	86.8% 4,670	88.0% 184	84.2% 669	84.8 % 2,254	80.2% 3,498
	Male	88.4% 72,977	89.2 % 62,644	78.8 % 2,882	86.4% 4,759	86.6 % 1,096	87.7 % 57	88.0 % 169	86.4% 579	79.7% 791
	Female	86.7 % 235,452	87.1% 198,629	81.0% 12,278	87.3 % 15,962	86.8% 3,574	88.2 % 127	82.9% 500	84.3 % 1,675	80.3 % 2,707

Full-Time Equivalents

What is it?

This shows the total percentage and number of teacher Full-Time Equivalents. The number of teachers at each school varies greatly depending on the student population, schools' grade configuration, programs offered, and the financial resources of the district.


		AII	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Unknown
District	All	100.0% 55.4	91.9% 50.9	0.9% 0.5	*	*	*	*	*	7.2% 4
	Male	12.6% 7	11.8% 6	*	*	*	*	*	*	25.0% 1
	Female	87.4% 48.4	88.2% 44.9	100.0% 0.5	*	*	*	*	*	75.0% 3
State	All	100.0% 132354.5	82.0 % 108491.7	6.0% 7993.1	7.9 % 10482.3	1.7% 2309.5	0.1% 83.7	0.2% 240.2	0.8% 1059	1.3% 1695
	Male	23.1% 30617.9	23.4 % 25426.5	20.5% 1641.5	22.5% 2355	22.2% 512.8	29.8% 24.9	24.1% 58	24.4% 258.6	20.1% 340.5
	Female	76.9% 101736.7	76.6 % 83065.2	79.5% 6351.6	77.5% 8127.3	77.8% 1796.7	70.2% 58.8	75.9% 182.3	75.6% 800.4	79.9% 1354.5

Administrators

About the data

Administrator data includes information regarding administration, including salary and turnover, as well as information comparing ratios of administration to both students and staff.





2 principal(s) over the past 6 years

Principal Turnover

Student-To-Staff Ratios

What is it?

This shows the average number of students per certified staff member and the average number of students per administrator.

	Student-Certified Staff Ratio	Student-Administrator Ratio
District	8	136
State	10	157

Administrators

Full-Time Equivalents

What is it?

This shows the total percentage and number of administrator Full-Time Equivalents. The number of administrators at each school varies greatly depending on the student population, schools' grade configuration, programs offered, and the financial resources of the district.

		All	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Unknown
District	All	100.0% 5	80.0% 4	*	*	*	*	*	20.0%	*
	Male	60.0%	50.0% 2	*	*	*	*	*	100.0% 1	*
	Female	40.0% 2	50.0% 2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	All	100.0% 12059.4	75.8% 9142.6	14.6 % 1757.5	6.9 % 832.9	1.0% 115.4	0.1 %	0.2% 21.3	0.8% 101.7	0.6% 77.1
	Male	42.6 % 5135.9	46.3 % 4229.8	27.0% 473.8	36.9% 307	46.0 % 53.1	27.4 %	32.2% 6.9	27.8% 28.3	44.2 % 34.1
	Female	57.4 % 6923.5	53.7% 4912.8	73.0% 1283.7	63.1% 525.9	54.0 % 62.3	72.6 %	67.8% 14.4	72.2% 73.4	55.8% 43.1

Administrators

Principal Turnover

What is it?

Principal Turnover for the school is the number of different principals at the same school in the last six years. At the district and state level, it is the average number of principals at the same school in the past six years. If the number is zero, no current data was provided.

	Principal(s) over the past 6 years
District	2
State	2

Average Administrator Salary

What is it?

This shows the average salary for administrators. This information is reported at the district level.

	Average Administrator Salary
District	\$135,596
State	\$114,141

Civil Rights Data Collection

(2017-18)

About the data

The Civil Rights Data Collection (CRDC) is a biennial (i.e., every other school year) survey of public schools that has been required by the U.S. Department of Education Office for Civil Rights since 1968. The CRDC collects data on leading civil rights indicators related to access and barriers to educational opportunity from early childhood through Grade 12. The CRDC collects data from public Local Educational Agencies and schools, including juvenile facilities, charter schools, alternative schools, and schools serving only students with disabilities.

Student Environment

What is it?

Data from the Civil Rights Data Collection (CRDC) comes directly from the U.S. Department of Education (ED). ED collects the data directly from ISBE and school districts and releases the information on a two- to three-year delay. ISBE also collects some of these data elements from districts and reports the results in the year it was collected. Current year data for in-school suspensions, out-of-school suspensions, and expulsions is reported by ISBE in a separate report on www.isbe.net. Current year data for chronic absenteeism can be found in the Students section of the Report Card. Please note data may not match due to differences in data collection methods.

	In School Suspensions	Out Of School Suspensions	Expulsions	School Related Arrests	Referral to Law Enforcement	Chronic Absenteeism
District	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.4%
State	5.1%	3.5%	0.1%	0.1%	0.7%	16.3%

Civil Rights Data Collection

(2017-18)

Student Environment (cont)

		Nu	Number of Schools with Incidents of Violence				
	Rate of Incidents of Violence	Firearm	Homicide				
District	0.0%	0	0				
State	2.2%	153	5				

Academic Environment

What is it?

Data from the Civil Rights Data Collection comes directly from ED, which collects the data directly from ISBE and school districts and releases the information on a two- to three-year delay. ISBE also collects some of these data elements from districts and reports the results in the year it was collected. Current year data for accelerated coursework can be found in the Academic Performance section of the Report Card. Please note data may not match due to differences in data collection methods.

			Advanced Placement Course Work	
	Enrolled in PreSchool	Advanced Placement (AP) Course Work	International Baccalaureate (IB) Course Work	Dual Credit Course Work
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
State	3.9% 78,272	7.2 % 143,753	0.3% 5,004	3.3% 65,736



About the data

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), also known as "The Nation's Report Card," is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. NAEP does not provide scores for individual students or schools; instead, it offers results regarding subject-matter achievement, instructional experiences, and school environment for populations of students (e.g., fourth-graders) and subgroups of those populations (e.g., female students, Hispanic students). NAEP results are based on a <u>sample of student populations of interest</u>. National NAEP reports information for the nation and specific geographic regions of the country. It includes students drawn from both public and nonpublic schools and reports results for student achievement at Grades 4, 8, and 12.

Inclusion Rate and Standard Error in NAEP

What is it?

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), also known as "The Nation's Report Card," is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. For more information, please see The Nation's Report Card.

Percentage of students identified With Disabilities and English Learners - Reading

	Grade 4			Grade 8				
	Identified as students with disabilities		English Language Learners		Identified as students with disabilities		English Language Learners	
Jurisdiction	Inclusion Rate	Standard Error	Inclusion Rate	Standard Error	Inclusion Rate	Standard Error	Inclusion Rate	Standard Error
Illinois	91	2.1	96	1	95	1.4	94	1.6


Percentage of students identified With Disabilities and English Learners - Mathematics

	Grade 4			Grade 8				
	Identified as students with disabilities		English Language Learners		Identified as students with disabilities		English Language Learners	
Jurisdiction	Inclusion Rate	Standard Error	Inclusion Rate	Standard Error	Inclusion Rate	Standard Error	Inclusion Rate	Standard Error
Illinois	95	1.2	98	0.7	94	1.1	95	2



What is it?

NAEP is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. For more information, please see The Nation's Report Card.




^{*} Significantly different (p < .05) from state's results in {year}. Significance tests were performed using unrounded numbers.



What is it?

Below NAEP Basic

NAEP is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. For more information, please see The Nation's Report Card.



IEP NOTE: The category "students with disabilities" includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program (IEP) or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabiliation Act of 1973.


■ NAEP Advanced

NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

NAEP Proficient


NAEP Basic





^{*} Significantly different (p < .05) from state's results in $\{year\}$. Significance tests were performed using unrounded numbers.





IEP NOTE: The category "students with disabilities" includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program (IEP) or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabiliation Act of 1973.

■ NAEP Advanced

NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

■ Below NAEP Basic ■ NAEP Basic ■ NAEP Proficient



Results for Student Groups

What is it?

NAEP is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. For more information, please see The Nation's Report Card.

Results for Student Groups in 2019 - Reading - Grade 4

				Percentage at or above NAEP				
Reporting Groups	Percentage of students	Average Score	Basic	Proficient	Percentage at NAEP Advanced			
Race/Ethnicity								
White	46.0%	228	75.0%	45.0%	12.0%			
Black	18.0%	200	46.0%	17.0%	2.0%			
Hispanic	27.0%	208	55.0%	23.0%	4.0%			
Asian	4.0%	238	82.0%	57.0%	19.0%			
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	#	‡	‡	‡	‡			
American Indian	#	‡	‡	‡	‡			
Two or More Races	4.0%	229	74.0%	43.0%	12.0%			
Gender								
Male	50.0%	215	61.0%	32.0%	8.0%			
Female	50.0%	221	68.0%	36.0%	9.0%			
National School Lunch Program								
Eligible NSLP	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡			
Not Eligible NSLP	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡			

[#] Rounds to zero.

[‡] Reporting standards not met.



Results for Student Groups (cont)

Results for Student Groups in 2019 - Reading - Grade 8

Results for Student Groups in 2019 - Reading - Grade 6									
		Percentage at or above NAEP							
Reporting Groups	Percentage of students	Average Score	Basic	Proficient	Percentage at NAEP Advanced				
Race/Ethnicity									
White	48.0%	274	82.0%	45.0%	6.0%				
Black	18.0%	246	56.0%	15.0%	1.0%				
Hispanic	25.0%	255	66.0%	25.0%	2.0%				
Asian	6.0%	290	90.0%	66.0%	15.0%				
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	#	‡	‡	‡	‡				
American Indian	#	‡	‡	‡	‡				
Two or More Races	3.0%	263	72.0%	32.0%	5.0%				
Gender									
Male	51.0%	260	69.0%	32.0%	4.0%				
Female	49.0%	269	78.0%	40.0%	6.0%				
National School Lunch Program									
Eligible NSLP	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡				
Not Eligible NSLP	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡				


[#] Rounds to zero.

[‡] Reporting standards not met.



What is it?

NAEP is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. For more information, please see The Nation's Report Card.




^{*} Significantly different (p < .05) from state's results in {year}. Significance tests were performed using unrounded numbers.



What is it?

NAEP is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. For more information, please see The Nation's Report Card.




■ Below NAEP Basic ■ NAEP Basic ■ NAEP Proficient ■ NAEP Advanced

IEP NOTE: The category "students with disabilities" includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program (IEP) or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabiliation Act of 1973.


NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.





^{*} Significantly different (p < .05) from state's results in $\{year\}$. Significance tests were performed using unrounded numbers.





IEP NOTE: The category "students with disabilities" includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program (IEP) or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabiliation Act of 1973.

■ NAEP Advanced

NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

■ Below NAEP Basic ■ NAEP Basic ■ NAEP Proficient



Results for Student Groups

What is it?

NAEP is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. For more information, please see The Nation's Report Card.

Results for Student Groups in 2019 - Math - Grade 4

	aponi 2010 Tiani O								
			Percentage at or above NA	AEP .	Davida da at NAED				
Reporting Groups	Percentage of students	Average Score	Basic	Proficient	Percentage at NAEP Advanced				
Race/Ethnicity									
White	46.0%	246	86.0%	51.0%	11.0%				
Black	17.0%	217	57.0%	14.0%	1.0%				
Hispanic	27.0%	231	74.0%	28.0%	4.0%				
Asian	4.0%	259	88.0%	65.0%	25.0%				
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	#	‡	‡	‡	‡				
American Indian	#	‡	‡	‡	‡				
Two or More Races	4.0%	238	76.0%	40.0%	12.0%				
Gender									
Male	50.0%	239	78.0%	41.0%	10.0%				
Female	50.0%	236	77.0%	36.0%	6.0%				
National School Lunch Program									
Eligible NSLP	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡				
Not Eligible NSLP	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡				

[#] Rounds to zero.

[‡] Reporting standards not met.



Results for Student Groups (cont)

Results for Student Groups in 2019 - Math - Grade 8

Results for Student Groups in 2019 - Math - Grade 8									
			Percentage at or above NAEP						
Reporting Groups	Percentage of students	Average Score	Basic	Proficient	Percentage at NAEP Advanced				
Race/Ethnicity									
White	48.0%	291	78.0%	42.0%	12.0%				
Black	18.0%	262	49.0%	14.0%	2.0%				
Hispanic	25.0%	273	62.0%	24.0%	5.0%				
Asian	6.0%	320	92.0%	73.0%	36.0%				
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	#	‡	‡	‡	‡				
American Indian	#	‡	‡	‡	‡				
Two or More Races	3.0%	286	71.0%	38.0%	17.0%				
Gender									
Male	51.0%	283	69.0%	35.0%	12.0%				
Female	49.0%	282	70.0%	32.0%	9.0%				
National School Lunch Program									
Eligible NSLP	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡				
Not Eligible NSLP	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡				

[#] Rounds to zero.

[‡] Reporting standards not met.